

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



Key Elements of Evaluation at MCC

Franck Wiebe Chief Economist, MCC

June 9, 2009



Coverage

- > Some form of credible evaluation of impact for every activity
- > Strategic investment of Impact Evaluation funds
 - As part of program design
 - Where opportunities to learn are greatest

> Lessons:

- Resources funds, time, people, guidance need to be made available
- Always easy to let evaluations slip institutional commitment to evaluation is essential



Objective Framework

- > Quantitative projection of impact before funding decision
- Explicit framework linking investments to measurable impact
- MCC measures dollars, but every sector needs metric
- ➤ Quantitative framework → attribution and rigor
- 50% of MCC activities subjected to rigorous IE
- Others will have "next best" evaluation compared to output and outcome estimates in pre-investment projections
- > Lessons:
- Pre-decision estimate of impact creates framework for M&E
- Single measurable metric should guide decisions
- Need a sense of best, better, and acceptable methods



Independence of Analysis

- ➤ All Evaluations will be conducted by credible private evaluators with specialized skills and experience
- ➤ MCC retains the right to comment on drafts, but evaluators have final editorial control and dissemination rights
- Lessons:
 - Use of external evaluators establishes independence directly
 - Internal evaluators possible, but
 - Should not be implementers not fair, not credible
 - Need evaluation to be primary function



Transparency

- >MCC documenting all evaluation work on website
 - Sector strategies and institutional portfolio
 - External evaluators, their workplans and timelines
 - Final reports and all supporting data

>Lessons:

- Transparency helps "protect" evaluation work
- Accountability and learning intertwined



Thank You!